

Absence of Gelation for Models of Coagulation-Fragmentation with Degenerate Diffusion

J. A. CAÑIZO ⁽¹⁾, L. DESVILLETES ⁽²⁾, K. FELLNER ⁽³⁾

⁽¹⁾ *CMLA, ENS Cachan, IUF & CNRS, PRES UniverSud, 61 Av. du Pdt. Wilson, 94235 Cachan Cedex, France, Email: desville@cmla.ens-cachan.fr*

⁽²⁾ *Departament de Matemàtiques, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, E-08193 Bellaterra, Spain, Email: canizo@mat.uab.es*

⁽³⁾ *DAMTP, CMS, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WA, United Kingdom, Email: K.Fellner@damtp.cam.ac.uk, On leave from: Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Nordbergstr. 15, 1090 Wien, Austria, Email: Klemens.Fellner@univie.ac.at*

Summary. — We show in this work that gelation does not occur for a class of discrete coagulation-fragmentation models with size-dependent diffusion. With respect to a previous work [2], we do not assume here that the diffusion rates of clusters are bounded below. The proof uses a duality argument first devised for reaction-diffusion systems with a finite number of equations [13].

PACS 02.30 – Rz.

PACS 02.30 – Jr.

PACS 36.40 – c.

1. – Introduction

We consider in this paper a discrete coagulation-fragmentation-diffusion model for the evolution of clusters, such as described for example in [12]. Denoting by $c_i := c_i(t, x) \geq 0$ the density of clusters with integer size $i \geq 1$ at position $x \in \Omega$ and time $t \geq 0$, the corresponding system writes (with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions) :

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1a) \quad & \partial_t c_i - d_i \Delta_x c_i = Q_i + F_i \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega, t \geq 0, i \in \mathbb{N}^*, \\
 (1b) \quad & \nabla_x c_i \cdot n = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega, t \geq 0, i \in \mathbb{N}^*, \\
 (1c) \quad & c_i(0, x) = c_i^0(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega, i \in \mathbb{N}^*,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $n = n(x)$ represents a unit normal vector at a point $x \in \partial\Omega$, d_i is the diffusion constant for clusters of size i , and

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_i \equiv Q_i[c] &:= Q_i^+ - Q_i^- := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{i-j,j} c_{i-j} c_j - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{i,j} c_i c_j, \\ F_i \equiv F_i[c] &:= F_i^+ - F_i^- := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{i+j} \beta_{i+j,i} c_{i+j} - B_i c_i. \end{aligned}$$

The rates B_i , $\beta_{i,j}$ and $a_{i,j}$ appearing in (2) are assumed to satisfy the following natural properties (the last one expresses the conservation of mass):

$$(3a) \quad a_{i,j} = a_{j,i} \geq 0, \quad \beta_{i,j} \geq 0 \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{N}^*),$$

$$(3b) \quad B_1 = 0 \quad B_i \geq 0 \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}^*),$$

$$(3c) \quad i = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} j \beta_{i,j}, \quad i \geq 2 \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Existence of weak solutions to system (1) – (2) is proven in [12] under the following (sublinear growth) estimate on the parameters:

$$(4) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{a_{i,j}}{j} = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{B_{i+j} \beta_{i+j,i}}{i+j} = 0, \quad (\text{for fixed } i \geq 1),$$

and provided that all $d_i > 0$.

In a previous paper (cf. [2]), we gave a new estimate for system (1)–(2) which basically stated that if $\sum_i i c_i(0, \cdot) \in L^2(\Omega)$, then for all $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\sum_i i c_i \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)$. As a consequence, it was possible to show (under a slightly more stringent condition than (4)) that the mass $\int_{\Omega} \sum_i i c_i(t, x) dx$ is rigorously conserved for solutions of system (1)–(2): that is, no phenomenon of gelation occurs. However, these results were shown to hold only under the restrictive assumption on the diffusion coefficients d_i that $0 < \inf_i d_i \leq \sup_i d_i < +\infty$. Such an assumption is unfortunately not realistic, since large clusters are expected to diffuse more slowly than smaller ones, so that in reality one expects that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d_i = 0$. In the case of continuous, diffusive coagulation-fragmentation systems, for instance, typical example of diffusion coefficients include $d(y) = d_0 y^{-\gamma}$ for a constant d_0 and an exponent $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ [14]. For example, $d_i \sim i^{-1}$ if clusters are modelled by balls diffusing within a liquid at rest in dimension 3 [8, 11].

Note that in system (1) – (2), mass is always conserved at the formal level. This can be seen by taking $\varphi_i = i$ in the following weak formulation of the kernel (which holds at the formal level for all sequence $(\varphi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ of numbers):

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i Q_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{i,j} c_i c_j (\varphi_{i+j} - \varphi_i - \varphi_j),$$

$$(6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i F_i = - \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} B_i c_i \left(\varphi_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \beta_{i,j} \varphi_j \right).$$

This paper is devoted to the generalization of the results obtained in [2] to the case of degenerated diffusion coefficients d_i scaling like $i^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma > 0$.

Therefore, we replace the first estimate in [2] (L^2 bound on $\sum i c_i$) by the following result:

Proposition 1.1. *Assume that (3), (4) hold, and that $d_i > 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Assume moreover that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i c_i(0, \cdot) \in L^2(\Omega)$, and that $\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*} d_i < +\infty$. Then, for all $T > 0$, the weak solutions to system (1)–(2) (obtained in [12]) satisfy the following bound:*

$$(7) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i d_i c_i(t, x) \right] \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i c_i(t, x) \right] dx \leq 4T \left(\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*} d_i \right) \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i c_i(0, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Note that as in [2], condition (4) and the fact that the diffusion rates are strictly positive are assumptions which are used in Proposition 1.1 only in order to ensure the existence of solutions. The bound (7) still holds for solutions of an approximated (truncated) system, uniformly w.r.t. the approximation, when (4) is not satisfied, or when some of the d_i are equal to 0.

The proof of this estimate is directly inspired from the proof of a similar estimate in the context of systems of reaction–diffusion with a finite number of equations (cf. [7]), or in the context of the Aizenman-Bak model of continuous coagulation and fragmentation [6, 4]. In those systems, the degeneracy occurs when one of the diffusions is equal to 0 (a general study for equations coming out of reversible chemistry with less than four species and possibly vanishing diffusion can be found in [5]).

When the sequence of diffusion coefficients d_i is not bounded below, estimate (7) is much weaker than what was obtained in [2] (that is, $\sum_i i c_i \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)$ for all $T > 0$). It is nevertheless enough to provide a proof of absence of gelation for coefficients $a_{i,j}$ which do not grow too rapidly (the maximum possible growth being related to the way in which d_i tends to 0 at infinity). More precisely, we can show the

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that (3), (4) holds, and that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i c_i(0, \cdot) \in L^2(\Omega)$. Assume also that the following extra relationship between the coefficients of coagulation and diffusion holds:*

$$(8) \quad d_i \geq Cst i^{-\gamma}, \quad a_{i,j} \leq Cst \left(i^{\alpha} j^{\beta} + i^{\beta} j^{\alpha} \right),$$

with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma \leq 1$, $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1[$, $\gamma \in [0, 1]$.

Then, the mass is rigorously conserved for weak solutions of system (1)–(2) given by the existence theorem in [12]: for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i c_i(t, x) \right] dx = \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i c_i(0, x) \right] dx,$$

so that no gelation occurs.

This result must be compared with previous results about mass conservation without presence of diffusion, which extend up to the critical linear case $a_{i,j} \leq Cst(i+j)$ (see for instance [1, 3]), and on the other hand results which ensure the appearance of gelation

[9, 10]. In presence of diffusion, a recent result of Hammond and Rezakhanlou [11] proves mass conservation for the system (1) without fragmentation as a consequence of L^∞ bounds on the solution: they show that if $a_{i,j} \leq C_1(i^\lambda + j^\lambda)$ and $d_i \geq C_2 i^{-\gamma}$ for some $\lambda, \gamma, C_1, C_2 > 0$ and all $i, j \geq 1$, with $\lambda + \gamma < 1$, then under some conditions on L^∞ norms and moments of the initial condition, mass is conserved for the system without fragmentation; see [11, Theorems 1.3 and 1.4] and [11, Corollary 1.1] for more details.

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proofs of Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

2. – Proofs

We begin with the

Proof of proposition 1.1. Since this proof is close to the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [7], we only sketch it. Denoting $\rho(t, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i c_i(t, x)$ and $A(t, x) = \rho(t, x)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i d_i c_i(t, x)$, we first observe that $\|A\|_{L^\infty} \leq \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*} d_i$, and that (thanks to (3c) and (5), (6) with $\lambda_i = i$), the following local conservation of mass holds:

$$(9) \quad \partial_t \rho - \Delta_x (A \rho) = 0.$$

We now consider an arbitrary smooth function $H := H(t, x) \geq 0$. Multiplying inequality (9) by the function w defined by the following dual problem:

$$(10a) \quad -(\partial_t w + A \Delta_x w) = H \sqrt{A},$$

$$(10b) \quad \nabla_x w \cdot n(x)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad w(T, \cdot) = 0$$

and integrating by parts on $[0, T] \times \Omega$, we end up with the identity

$$(11) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} H(t, x) \sqrt{A(t, x)} \rho(t, x) dx dt = \int_{\Omega} w(0, x) \rho(0, x) dx.$$

Multiplying now eq. (10a) by $-\Delta_x w$, integrating by parts on $[0, T] \times \Omega$ and using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we end up with the estimate

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} A (\Delta_x w)^2 dx dt \leq \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} H^2 dx dt.$$

Recalling eq. (10a), we obtain the bound

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\partial_t w|^2}{A} dx dt \leq 4 \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} H^2 dx dt.$$

Using once again Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$|w(0, x)|^2 \leq \left(\int_0^T \sqrt{A(t, x)} \frac{|\partial_t w(t, x)|}{\sqrt{A(t, x)}} dt \right)^2 \leq 4 \int_0^T A(t, x) dt \int_0^T \frac{|\partial_t w|^2}{A} dt,$$

which leads to the following estimate of the L^2 norm of $w(0, \cdot)$:

$$(12) \quad \int_{\Omega} |w(0, x)|^2 dx \leq 4T \|A\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} H(t, x)^2 dx dt.$$

Recalling now (11) and using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality one last time, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} H \sqrt{A} \rho dx dt &\leq \|\rho(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|w(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq 2 \sqrt{T \|A\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}} \|H\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)} \|\rho(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since this estimate holds true for all (nonnegative smooth) functions H , we obtain by duality that

$$\|\sqrt{A} \rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq 2 \sqrt{T \|A\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}} \|\rho(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

This is exactly estimate (7) of Proposition 1.1. \square

We now turn to the

Proof of proposition 1.2. As $\sum_i i c_i(0, \cdot) \in L^1(\Omega)$, we may choose a nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ which diverges as $i \rightarrow +\infty$, and such that

$$(13) \quad \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \lambda_i c_i^0 < +\infty.$$

(This is a version of de la Vallée-Poussin's Lemma; see [2, proof of Theorem 3.1] for details). We can also find a nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers $\{\psi_i\}$ such that

$$(14) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \psi_i = +\infty,$$

$$(15) \quad \psi_i \leq \lambda_i, \quad \psi_{i+1} - \psi_i \leq \frac{1}{i+1}, \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}^*).$$

Roughly, this says that ψ_i grows more slowly than $\log i$ and than λ_i , and still diverges; we refer again to [2, proof of Theorem 3.1] and [2, Lemma 4.1] for the construction of such a sequence. Note that (15) implies that

$$(16) \quad \psi_{i+j} - \psi_i \leq \log(i+j) - \log i, \quad \text{for } i, j \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Using the weak formulation (5)–(6) of the coagulation and fragmentation operators with $\varphi_i = i \psi_i$, we see that for a weak solution $c_i := c_i(t, x) \geq 0$ of system (1)–(2), the

following identity holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \psi_i c_i(t, x) dx &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left((i+j) \psi_{i+j} - i \psi_i - j \psi_j \right) a_{i,j} c_i(t, x) c_j(t, x) dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \left(i \psi_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \beta_{i,j} j \psi_j \right) B_i c_i(t, x) dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} i \left(\psi_{i+j} - \psi_i \right) a_{i,j} c_i(t, x) c_j(t, x) dx \\
&\leq \text{Cst} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} i \left(\log(i+j) - \log i \right) \left(i^{\alpha} j^{\beta} + i^{\beta} j^{\alpha} \right) c_i(t, x) c_j(t, x) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the symmetry $i \rightarrow j, j \rightarrow i$ in the coagulation part, omitted the fragmentation part, which is non-negative for the superlinear testfunction of $i \mapsto i \psi_i$, and used (16).

Then, observing that for any $\delta \in]0, 1]$, there exists a constant $C_{\delta} > 0$ such that $\log(1 + j/i) \leq C_{\delta} (j/i)^{\delta}$, we see that (for δ_1, δ_2 to be chosen in $]0, 1]$),

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \psi_i c_i(t, x) dx \right) &\leq \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \psi_i c_i(0, x) dx \\
&\quad + \text{Cst} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(i^{\alpha+1-\delta_1} j^{\beta+\delta_1} + i^{\beta+1-\delta_2} j^{\alpha+\delta_2} \right) c_i(t, x) c_j(t, x) dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

The r.h.s in this estimate can be bounded by

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i d_i c_i(t, x) \right] \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j c_j(t, x) \right] dx dt$$

provided that

$$\alpha + 1 - \delta_1 \leq 1 - \gamma, \quad \beta + \delta_1 \leq 1,$$

and

$$\beta + 1 - \delta_2 \leq 1 - \gamma, \quad \alpha + \delta_2 \leq 1.$$

We see that it is possible to find δ_1, δ_2 in $]0, 1]$ satisfying those inequalities under our assumptions on α, β, γ . Using then Proposition 1.1, we see that for all $T > 0$, the quantity

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \psi_i c_i(t, x) dx$$

is bounded on $[0, T]$, and this ensures that it is possible to pass to the limit in the equation of conservation of mass for solutions of a truncated system. \square

We now provide (in the following remark) for the interested reader some ideas on how to get Theorem 1.2 without assuming that $\sum_i i \log i c_i(0, \cdot) \in L^1(\Omega)$.

Remark 2.1 (Absence of gelation via tightness). It is in fact possible to follow the lines of the proof of Remark 4.3 in [2]: one introduces the superlinear test sequence $i\phi_k(i)$ with $\phi_k(i) = \frac{\log i}{\log k} 1_{i < k} + 1_{i \geq k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and uses the weak form of the the kernels (5), (6). Then, using that the weak formulation of the fragmentation part is nonnegative for superlinear test sequences, and using the symmetry of the $a_{i,j}$ to only sum over the indices $i \geq j \in \mathbb{N}^*$ leads (as in [2]) to the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i i \phi_k(i) \leq & \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i \geq j} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{i,j} [i c_i] [c_j] \left(\frac{\log(1 + \frac{i}{j})}{\log(k)} \mathbb{1}_{i < k} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{j}{i} \left(\frac{\log(1 + \frac{i}{j})}{\log(k)} \mathbb{1}_{i+j < k} + \frac{\log(\frac{k}{j})}{\log(k)} \mathbb{1}_{j < k \leq i+j} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The first term is now estimated like $\log(1 + j/i) \leq \text{Cst} (j/i)^{1-\beta}$ (or the same with α replacing β). In order to estimate the second and the third term, we distinguish the cases $i/j \leq \log k$ and $i/j > \log k$. In the first case of the second and third terms (that is, when $i/j \leq \log k$), one must estimate j by $i^\beta j^{1-\beta}$ (or the same with α replacing β). Finally, in the second part of the second and third terms (that is, when $i/j > \log k$), one must estimate j by $\frac{i^\beta j^{1-\beta}}{(\log k)^\beta}$ (or the same with α replacing β). The case when $\beta = 0$ (or $\alpha = 0$) and $\gamma > 0$ deserves a special treatment: in the second part of the second and last terms, one can use the following estimate: $j i^{1-\gamma} \leq (\log k)^{-\gamma} j^{1-\gamma} i$.

Once those estimates have been used, it is possible to conclude that the mass is conserved as in [2], thanks to an argument using tightness.

3. – Acknowledgments

* * *

KFs work has been supported by the KAUST Award No. KUK-I1-007-43, made by King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST). JAC was supported by the project MTM2008-06349-C03-03 of the Spanish *Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación*, LD was supported by the french project ANR CBDif. The authors acknowledge partial support of the trilateral project Austria-France-Spain (Austria: FR 05/2007 and ES 04/2007, Spain: HU2006-0025 and HF2006-0198, France: Picasso 13702TG and Amadeus 13785 UA). LD and KF also wish to acknowledge the kind hospitality of the CRM of Barcelona.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. Ball and J. Carr. The discrete coagulation-fragmentation equations: Existence, uniqueness, and density conservation. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 61(1):203–234, 1990.
- [2] J. A. Cañizo, L. Desvillettes, and K. Fellner. Regularity and mass conservation for discrete coagulation-fragmentation equations with diffusion. Preprint, 2009.
- [3] J. Carr and F. Costa. Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the coagulation-fragmentation equations. II. Weak fragmentation. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 77(1):89–123, 1994.

- [4] J. Carrillo, L. Desvillettes, and K. Fellner. Rigorous derivation of a nonlinear diffusion equation as fast-reaction limit of a continuous coagulation–fragmentation model with diffusion. Preprint n. 2008-38 of the CMLA, ENS Cachan, to appear in CPDE, 2008.
- [5] L. Desvillettes and K. Fellner. Entropy methods for reaction-diffusion equations with degenerate diffusion arising in reversible chemistry. Preprint n. 2008-36 of the CMLA, ENS Cachan, 2008.
- [6] L. Desvillettes and K. Fellner. Large time asymptotics for a continuous coagulation-fragmentation model with degenerate size-dependent diffusion. Preprint n. 2009-05 of the CMLA, ENS Cachan, 2009.
- [7] L. Desvillettes, K. Fellner, M. Pierre, and J. Vovelle. About global existence for quadratic systems of reaction-diffusion. *Journal of Advanced Nonlinear Studies*, 7(3):491–511, 2007.
- [8] D. Dürr, S. Goldstein, and J. Lebowitz. A mechanical model of Brownian motion. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 78(4):507–530, 1980.
- [9] M. Escobedo, P. Laurençot, S. Mischler, and B. Perthame. Gelation and mass conservation in coagulation-fragmentation models. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 195(1):143–174, 2003.
- [10] M. Escobedo, S. Mischler, and B. Perthame. Gelation in coagulation and fragmentation models. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 231:157–188, 2002.
- [11] A. Hammond and F. Rezakhanlou. Moment bounds for the smoluchowski equation and their consequences. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 276(3):645–670, 2007.
- [12] P. Laurençot and S. Mischler. Global existence for the discrete diffusive coagulation-fragmentation equation in L^1 . *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, 18:731–745, 2002.
- [13] M. Pierre and D. Schmitt. Blowup in reaction-diffusion systems with dissipation of mass. *SIAM Review*, 42:93–106, 2000.
- [14] S. Simons and D. R. Simpson. The effect of particle coagulation on the diffusive relaxation of a spatially inhomogeneous aerosol. *Journal of Physics A*, 21:3523–3536, 1988.